



Swami Vivekananda Advanced Journal for Research and Studies
Online Copy of Document Available on: www.svajrs.com

ISSN:2584-105X

Pg. 78-84



Uniform Civil Code and Gender Justice: A Critical Evaluation

Rahul Joshi

Assistant Professor (Law)
Unity Law College, Rudrapur (U.K.)
Laiba Noor (B.A.LL. B, LL.M.)
Advocate

Accepted: 13/04/2026

Published: 14/04/2026

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19568067>

Abstract

The debate surrounding the Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India represents one of the most complex intersections of law, religion, and gender justice. While the Indian Constitution guarantees equality before law and prohibits discrimination, personal laws governing marriage, divorce, inheritance, and adoption continue to differ across religious communities. These personal laws often reflect patriarchal structures and result in gender based inequalities. The UCC, envisaged under Article 44 of the Constitution, aims to replace these diverse personal laws with a uniform legal framework applicable to all citizens.

This paper critically evaluates whether the UCC can truly serve as an instrument of gender justice in India. It examines constitutional provisions, landmark judicial decisions, arguments for and against UCC, and contemporary developments. The study argues that while UCC has the potential to promote gender equality, its implementation must be gradual, inclusive, and sensitive to cultural diversity. The paper concludes that gender justice cannot be achieved solely through legal uniformity; rather, it requires a combination of legal reform, social transformation, and institutional support.

Keywords: *Uniform Civil Code (UCC), Gender Justice, Personal Laws, Secularism, Constitutional Morality*

Introduction

India is a pluralistic society marked by deep religious, cultural, and linguistic diversity. This diversity is reflected in its legal framework, especially in the domain of personal laws, which regulate family-related matters such as marriage, divorce, maintenance, adoption, and inheritance. These laws are largely derived from religious customs and traditions of different communities, including Hindu, Muslim, Christian, and Parsi laws. While this system respects cultural autonomy, it has also led to the persistence of gender inequalities across communities. Women, irrespective of religion, often face discrimination under personal laws. For instance, unequal inheritance rights, unilateral divorce practices, and limited maintenance provisions have historically disadvantaged women. Although judicial interventions and legislative reforms have attempted to address these disparities, the fragmented nature of personal laws continues to create inconsistencies in the protection of women's rights.

In this context, the concept of a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) has gained prominence as a potential mechanism to ensure gender justice. The UCC proposes a common set of civil laws applicable to all citizens, regardless of religion, thereby replacing religion-based personal laws with a uniform legal framework. The idea finds constitutional backing in Article 44 of the Indian Constitution, which directs the State to endeavor to secure a uniform civil code throughout the country.

Proponents argue that the UCC would promote equality before the law and eliminate discriminatory practices embedded in personal laws. By providing a gender-neutral legal framework, it could ensure equal rights in marriage, divorce, inheritance, and adoption. From this perspective, the UCC is seen as a step toward fulfilling the constitutional mandate of equality and dignity, particularly under Articles 14 and 21.

However, the implementation of the UCC raises significant concerns regarding cultural diversity and religious freedom. Personal laws are closely tied to the identity and beliefs of various communities. Imposing a uniform code may be perceived as an infringement on the right to freedom of religion guaranteed under Article 25. Minority communities, in particular, fear that a uniform law may reflect the norms of the majority, thereby undermining their cultural autonomy.

Moreover, the challenge lies not only in drafting a truly equitable and inclusive code but also in ensuring its acceptance across diverse communities. A rigid or top-down implementation could lead to social resistance and deepen existing divisions. Therefore, many scholars argue for a gradual and consultative approach,

emphasizing reforms within personal laws rather than immediate uniformity.

2. Concept of Gender Justice

Gender justice refers to the fair and equitable treatment of all individuals irrespective of gender. It implies not only formal equality before the law but also substantive equality in terms of access to opportunities, resources, and decision-making power. The concept seeks to dismantle historical and structural inequalities that have marginalized women and other gender groups in social, economic, and legal spheres.

In India, the foundation for gender justice is firmly rooted in the Constitution. Article 14 of the Indian Constitution guarantees equality before the law and equal protection of laws to all persons. This provision ensures that the State does not deny any individual equality in legal processes. Further, Article 15 of the Indian Constitution explicitly prohibits discrimination on grounds of religion, race, caste, sex, or place of birth, while also allowing the State to make special provisions for women and children to promote substantive equality. Additionally, Article 21 of the Indian Constitution has been expansively interpreted by the judiciary to include the right to live with dignity, bodily autonomy, and freedom from violence.

Despite these robust constitutional safeguards, gender inequality continues to persist in Indian society. One of the primary reasons is the deep-rooted influence of patriarchal social norms that shape attitudes and practices. These norms often manifest in discriminatory customs, unequal division of labor, and limited access to education and employment opportunities for women. Furthermore, certain provisions within personal laws—governing marriage, divorce, inheritance, and maintenance—have historically reinforced gender disparities. For example, unequal inheritance rights and gender-based divorce practices have often disadvantaged women across communities.

Gender justice, therefore, extends beyond the mere existence of legal provisions. It is a broader social and ethical principle that requires transformation at multiple levels—legal, institutional, and societal. Achieving gender justice involves not only reforming discriminatory laws but also addressing socio-cultural barriers that hinder equality. It requires awareness, education, and active efforts by the State and civil society to challenge stereotypes and promote inclusivity.

In essence, gender justice is integral to the realization of a just and democratic society. It aims to create an environment where individuals, regardless of gender, can exercise their rights fully and live with dignity. While the constitutional framework in India provides a strong foundation, the true realization of gender justice

depends on effective implementation, progressive legal reforms, and a shift in societal attitudes.

3. Historical Background of UCC

The concept of a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India has its roots in the colonial period. During British rule, efforts were made to codify various areas of law such as criminal law and contract law to ensure uniformity and administrative efficiency. However, the British deliberately chose to retain personal laws governing family matters—such as marriage, divorce, and inheritance—for different religious communities. This approach was adopted to avoid interfering with religious practices and to maintain social stability, but it also resulted in a fragmented legal system based on religious identities.

The idea of a UCC gained significant attention during the deliberations of the Constituent Assembly of India. Members debated whether India should adopt a common civil code applicable to all citizens irrespective of religion. Proponents argued that a uniform code would promote national unity, secularism, and equality, particularly gender equality, by eliminating discriminatory practices embedded in personal laws. On the other hand, opponents expressed concerns that such a move might infringe upon the right to religious freedom and undermine the cultural autonomy of minority communities. They emphasized that personal laws were an integral part of religious identity and should not be altered without the consent of the communities concerned.

As a compromise, the framers of the Constitution decided not to include the UCC as a Fundamental Right. Instead, it was placed under the Directive Principles of State Policy as Article 44 of the Indian Constitution. This means that while the State is encouraged to work towards the implementation of a UCC, it is not legally enforceable in a court of law. Thus, the provision serves as a guiding principle for governance rather than an immediately enforceable obligation, reflecting the delicate balance between the ideals of equality and the realities of India's diverse society.

4. Personal Laws and Gender Inequality

In India, personal laws governing family matters differ across religious communities, reflecting the country's pluralistic legal system. While these laws aim to preserve religious identity and customs, they have often perpetuated gender inequalities, particularly affecting women's rights in marriage, divorce, and inheritance.

Hindu Law

Hindu personal law has undergone significant reform through legislative codification, notably the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and the Hindu Succession Act, 1956. These reforms aimed to modernize traditional

practices and improve women's legal status. However, historically, the concept of coparcenary under Hindu law excluded daughters from ancestral property rights, reinforcing gender inequality. Although later amendments, particularly in 2005, granted daughters equal coparcenary rights, the legacy of discrimination continues to influence social practices.

Muslim Law

Muslim personal law in India has also been a subject of debate concerning gender justice. Practices such as instant triple talaq—declared unconstitutional in the landmark case of *Shayara Bano v. Union of India*—highlighted the unequal position of women in matters of divorce. Additionally, the permissibility of polygamy under traditional interpretations and unequal inheritance shares have raised concerns about fairness and equality. Despite certain protections, Muslim women have often faced challenges in securing equitable rights within the framework of personal law.

Christian and Parsi Laws

Christian and Parsi personal laws have also contained provisions that historically disadvantaged women. Earlier, Christian divorce laws imposed stricter conditions on women seeking divorce compared to men. Similarly, certain aspects of Parsi personal law reflected gender biases in matrimonial and inheritance matters. Although reforms have been introduced over time to address these issues, remnants of inequality have persisted in practice.

Overall, these personal laws, while rooted in religious traditions, often reflect patriarchal values that conflict with constitutional principles of equality and non-discrimination. This tension underscores the ongoing debate on the need for reforms or the introduction of a more uniform legal framework to ensure gender justice across all communities.

5. Judicial Approach to UCC and Gender Justice

The Indian judiciary has played a transformative role in advancing gender justice and highlighting the need for a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India. Through progressive interpretations of constitutional provisions, the courts have sought to eliminate discrimination embedded in personal laws and promote equality.

Shah Bano Case (1985)

In the landmark case of *Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano Begum*, the Supreme Court granted maintenance to a divorced Muslim woman under Section 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The judgment emphasized that a secular law for maintenance applies to all citizens, regardless of religion. The Court also underscored the desirability of implementing a UCC to ensure uniformity and gender justice.

Sarla Mudgal Case (1995)

In *Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India*, the Court addressed the issue of Hindu men converting to Islam to practice polygamy. It condemned such misuse of religious conversion and held it to be a violation of legal and ethical principles. The judgment reiterated the importance of a UCC in preventing exploitation and ensuring equality across communities.

Shayara Bano Case (2017)

The decision in *Shayara Bano v. Union of India* marked a significant step toward gender justice. The Supreme Court declared the practice of instant triple talaq (talaq-e-biddat) unconstitutional, recognizing it as arbitrary and discriminatory against Muslim women. This ruling reinforced the principle that personal laws must conform to constitutional values.

Contemporary Judicial View

In recent years, the Supreme Court has continued to stress that a UCC could help eliminate gender-based discrimination inherent in personal laws. The judiciary has consistently advocated for reforms that align personal laws with the principles of equality, dignity, and justice enshrined in the Constitution.

NALSA Case (2014)

In *National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India*, the Court recognized the rights of transgender persons and affirmed that gender identity is an integral part of personal autonomy. This judgment expanded the scope of gender justice beyond the binary framework, emphasizing inclusivity and equal protection under the law.

6. Arguments in Favour of UCC

The adoption of a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India is often supported on several important grounds, particularly in relation to equality, legal clarity, and national unity.

Promotion of Gender Equality

One of the strongest arguments in favor of the UCC is its potential to advance gender equality. By replacing religion-based personal laws with a uniform set of rules, the UCC would eliminate discriminatory practices that disadvantage women in matters such as marriage, divorce, maintenance, and inheritance. It would ensure that women across all religious communities are treated equally under the law, thereby strengthening the constitutional vision of equality and dignity.

Uniform Legal Framework

At present, India follows a system of multiple personal laws, leading to inconsistencies and complexities in legal application. A UCC would create a single,

coherent legal framework governing civil matters for all citizens. This would simplify legal processes, reduce ambiguity, and enhance the efficiency of the justice system. A uniform system would also ensure that similar cases are treated alike, regardless of religious affiliation.

Secularism

The UCC is often seen as a step toward reinforcing the secular character of the State. Secularism implies that the State maintains neutrality in matters of religion. By separating personal laws from religious doctrines, the UCC would ensure that civil matters are governed by principles of equality and justice rather than religious norms. This aligns with the broader constitutional objective of treating all citizens equally, irrespective of their faith.

National Integration

A common civil code can contribute to national integration by fostering a sense of unity among citizens. Legal pluralism, based on religious distinctions, may sometimes reinforce divisions within society. The UCC, by introducing a common set of laws, can promote a shared national identity and reduce legal fragmentation. It encourages the idea that all citizens are equal members of the nation, governed by the same legal standards.

7. Arguments Against UCC

The proposal for a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India has generated significant debate, with critics highlighting several concerns.

Threat to Religious Freedom

One of the primary objections is that the UCC may infringe upon the right to freedom of religion guaranteed under Articles 25–28 of the Indian Constitution. Personal laws are often seen as an integral part of religious practice, and replacing them with a uniform code may be perceived as State interference in religious affairs.

Cultural Diversity

India's vast cultural and religious diversity makes the implementation of a uniform law particularly challenging. Different communities follow distinct customs and traditions, especially in family matters. A single code may struggle to accommodate this diversity without oversimplifying or disregarding deeply rooted practices.

Majoritarianism Concerns

There is also a fear among minority communities that the UCC could reflect the values and norms of the majority, leading to a form of legal majoritarianism. This raises concerns about the protection of minority

rights and the preservation of their unique cultural identities.

Gender Justice Not Guaranteed

Critics further argue that mere uniformity does not automatically ensure equality. A uniform law, if not carefully drafted, could still contain gender biases. Therefore, the focus should not only be on uniformity but also on ensuring that the laws themselves are just, inclusive, and gender-sensitive.

8. UCC and Gender Justice: Critical Analysis

The relationship between the Uniform Civil Code (UCC) and gender justice in India is nuanced and multifaceted, involving legal, social, and political dimensions.

Legal Uniformity vs. Substantive Equality

A key issue in the UCC debate is the distinction between formal equality and substantive equality. While a uniform set of laws may ensure that all individuals are treated alike in a formal sense, it does not automatically eliminate deep-rooted gender biases. True gender justice requires laws that actively address historical disadvantages and structural inequalities faced by women. Therefore, merely having uniform laws is insufficient unless those laws are inherently just and equitable.

Intersectionality

Gender discrimination in India does not operate in isolation. It intersects with other factors such as religion, caste, class, and region. Women from marginalized communities often face multiple layers of discrimination. A UCC, if not carefully designed, may fail to account for these intersecting inequalities, thereby limiting its effectiveness in achieving comprehensive gender justice.

Political Dimensions

The debate surrounding the UCC is often influenced by political considerations. At times, discussions on the UCC are framed in ways that reflect ideological or electoral agendas rather than a genuine commitment to women's rights. This politicization can hinder constructive dialogue and make it difficult to build consensus among diverse communities.

Selective Reforms

Judicial and legislative interventions have demonstrated that reform within personal laws is possible without a complete overhaul. For instance, the invalidation of practices like triple talaq through cases such as *Shayara Bano v. Union of India* shows that targeted reforms can address specific instances of gender injustice. This suggests that a gradual and consultative approach to reform may be a viable alternative to an immediate implementation of a UCC.

9. Recent Developments

Recent developments indicate a gradual and evolving movement toward the implementation of a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India, though the process remains complex and contested.

One significant step in this direction is the initiative taken by Uttarakhand, which became the first state in independent India to pass a UCC law in 2024. This move is seen as a pilot model for broader national implementation, aiming to create a uniform framework governing marriage, divorce, inheritance, and related civil matters irrespective of religion. The Uttarakhand law reflects an attempt to balance equality with administrative feasibility at the state level.

At the national level, the debate on UCC continues to be active and dynamic. Discussions involve legal experts, policymakers, religious groups, and civil society organizations. Key issues include the protection of religious freedom, preservation of cultural diversity, and the need to ensure gender justice. The discourse reflects both support for reform and concerns about its implications, indicating that consensus is still evolving.

The judiciary has also played a proactive role in this process. The Supreme Court and various High Courts have, in multiple judgments, emphasized the importance of eliminating gender-based discrimination embedded in personal laws. Through progressive interpretations of constitutional provisions, the courts have consistently advocated for reforms that uphold equality, dignity, and justice.

These developments collectively suggest that India is moving incrementally toward the idea of a UCC. Rather than an immediate nationwide implementation, the trend points toward a gradual, consultative, and phased approach—combining legislative initiatives, judicial interventions, and public debate—to reconcile the goals of gender justice with the country's pluralistic character.

10. Challenges in Implementing UCC

The implementation of a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India faces several practical and socio-political challenges that must be carefully addressed.

Religious Opposition

One of the foremost challenges is resistance from religious communities who view personal laws as an essential part of their faith and identity. Any attempt to replace these laws with a uniform code is often perceived as interference in religious practices, leading to opposition and mistrust.

Lack of Consensus

India's diversity makes it difficult to achieve a broad consensus on the content and scope of a UCC. Different communities, legal experts, and stakeholders hold varying opinions, making agreement on a common framework a complex task.

Political Sensitivity

The issue of UCC is highly sensitive and often politicized. Political parties may use it as a tool for electoral gains, which can hinder objective and constructive policymaking focused on gender justice and legal reform.

Risk of Social Unrest

If implemented without adequate consultation and preparation, a UCC may lead to social tensions or unrest. Sudden changes in deeply rooted personal laws can provoke strong reactions, especially among minority groups.

Need for Gender-Sensitive Drafting

Finally, merely creating a uniform law is not enough. The code must be carefully drafted to ensure that it is gender-just, inclusive, and free from biases. Without this, the primary objective of achieving equality may remain unfulfilled.

11. Suggestions and Recommendations

The path toward implementing a Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India requires a balanced, inclusive, and carefully planned approach. The following suggestions can help ensure that the objective of gender justice is achieved without undermining social harmony:

Gradual Implementation

Instead of introducing a comprehensive code abruptly, reforms should be implemented in a phased manner. Step-by-step changes within personal laws can build trust among communities and allow time for adaptation, reducing resistance and confusion.

Gender-Sensitive Drafting

The primary objective of the UCC should be to ensure gender justice. Therefore, the law must be carefully drafted to address real issues faced by women, such as unequal inheritance, discriminatory divorce practices, and lack of financial security. The focus should be on substantive equality rather than mere uniformity.

Public Consultation

An inclusive consultative process is essential for the success of the UCC. Stakeholders from all religious communities, legal experts, women's organizations, and civil society must be actively involved. This will help in creating a law that reflects diverse perspectives and gains wider acceptance.

Strengthening Judiciary

The judiciary has already played a progressive role in promoting gender justice. Courts should continue to interpret laws in light of constitutional values such as equality, dignity, and liberty, thereby guiding legislative reforms and ensuring accountability.

Awareness Programs

Public awareness is crucial for effective implementation. Citizens must be educated about their rights, legal remedies, and the benefits of reforms. Awareness programs can help dispel misconceptions and encourage informed participation in the reform process.

12. Conclusion

The Uniform Civil Code (UCC) in India represents both a significant opportunity and a complex challenge in the pursuit of gender justice. On one hand, it has the potential to eliminate gender-based discrimination embedded in religion-based personal laws and to establish a framework of equal rights for all citizens. By ensuring uniformity in matters such as marriage, divorce, inheritance, and maintenance, the UCC can strengthen the constitutional vision of equality and dignity.

However, the success of the UCC depends not merely on its introduction, but on how it is designed and implemented. A uniform law that fails to address existing social realities or incorporates implicit biases may not achieve its intended objective. Gender justice cannot be secured solely through legal uniformity; it requires substantive equality that actively addresses historical disadvantages and structural inequalities faced by women.

Moreover, achieving true gender justice demands a broader transformation beyond the legal sphere. Social attitudes deeply rooted in patriarchy and tradition must evolve to support equality. Institutional mechanisms must be strengthened to ensure effective enforcement of rights, and civil society must play an active role in advocating awareness and change.

A balanced and inclusive approach is therefore essential. The path toward implementing a UCC should respect India's cultural and religious diversity while firmly upholding constitutional principles. In this context, Constitutional Morality becomes crucial, as it emphasizes adherence to values such as justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity over prevailing social norms.

In conclusion, the UCC can be a powerful instrument for advancing gender justice, but only if it is guided by inclusiveness, sensitivity, and a genuine commitment to equality. Its implementation must be gradual,

consultative, and rooted in the broader goal of creating a just and equitable society.

Reference -

- **Books & Articles**
- P.K. Pandey, Gender Justice and Uniform Civil Code
- Rohini Dahiya, Gender Justice in India: Outlook on UCC
- IJLLR, Constitutional Debate on UCC
- **Web Sources**
- Lawctopus, UCC and Women's Rights
- Chanakya Law Review, UCC and Religious Freedom
- **Case Laws**
- Shah Bano v. Union of India (1985)
- Sarla Mudgal v. Union of India (1995)
- Shayara Bano v. Union of India (2017)
- National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India (2014)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The views, findings, conclusions, and opinions expressed in articles published in this journal are exclusively those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s). The publisher and/or editorial team neither endorse nor necessarily share these viewpoints. The publisher and/or editors assume no responsibility or liability for any damage, harm, loss, or injury, whether personal or otherwise, that might occur from the use, interpretation, or reliance upon the information, methods, instructions, or products discussed in the journal's content.
